AMR-PIC Simulation of Collisionless Magnetic Reconnection

Keizo Fujimoto

National Astronomical Observatory, Japan

<u>Magnetic Reconnection</u> Line: Field lines, Contour: n_e Arrow: V_e

/nome/tkeizo/AMK_code/2d_main_cyc_4/output/x ivz9mg3dn44p/part/v3569.tid





- Change in field line topology
- Plasma acceleration and heating

Magnetic Reconnection in Space







Solar Flares

Multi-Scale Nature of Reconnection



Kuznetsova et al., J. Geophys. Res., 2007

Nongyrotropic

correction case



=

 m_i

e

 $2P\partial V_x$

 ∂x

 $(\overline{\partial P_{ixy}} + \overline{\partial P_{ixz}})$

 ∂z

06:35:00 y= 0,00R

 ∂x

(d)

Numerical resistivity only



- Slow reconnection
- Quasi-steady configuration
- Fast reconnection
- Quasi-periodic process



 $E^{ng} =$

^ v ⊫n

ne

Restrictions of Explicit PIC Model



$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \textbf{Cell size} \\ \hline \Delta x \leq \mathbf{3} \lambda_{De} & \lambda_{De} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_0 T_e}{n_e e^2}} \end{array}$$

Num of particles per cell

 $\mathrm{N}_p \gtrsim 10^2$

Memory requirement per cell

Field (n_s, J_s, E, B)
$$14 \times 4$$
 Byte

Particle (
$$x_s$$
, v_s)
(12 × 4 Byte) × 10²

<<





CCP2012@Kobe, Japan



de/3d_main_cyc_3/output/x1y5z8mg3bn44p/part/yzx0.00_087_1111.inp



AMR-PIC Simulations

Data Structure



Similar to a fully threaded tree (FTT) structure (Khokhlov, 1998).

[Fujimoto & Machida, JCP, 2006]



Basic Equations of PIC model

[Birdsall and Langdon, IOP, 1991]

$$\rho_{l,m,n} = \sum_{s} \sum_{j} q_{sj} S(\vec{x}_{sj} - \vec{X}_{l,m,n})$$
$$A(\vec{x}_{sj}) = \sum_{l} \sum_{m} \sum_{n} A_{l,m,n} S(\vec{x}_{sj} - \vec{X}_{l,m,n})$$

S: Shape function

Superparticles (Buneman-Boris method)





EM Field (Yee-Buneman scheme) $\frac{\vec{B}^{n+1/2} - \vec{B}^{n-1/2}}{\Delta t} = -\nabla \times \vec{E}^{n}$ $\frac{\vec{E}^{n+1} - \vec{E}^{n}}{\Delta t} = c^{2}\nabla \times \vec{B}^{n+1/2} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}}\vec{j}^{n+1/2}$ Function 4 Charge Conservation Method Villasenor & Buneman 19921



von Neumann Stability Analysis

$$E_j^n = g^n \exp[ik(j\Delta x)]$$
$$g = |g| \exp[-i\omega\Delta t]$$

$$\frac{\vec{B}^{n+1/2} - \vec{B}^{n-1/2}}{\Delta t} = -\nabla \times \vec{E}^n$$
$$\frac{\vec{E}^{n+1} - \vec{E}^n}{\Delta t} = c^2 \nabla \times \vec{B}^{n+1/2}$$



Radiation of EM Waves



$$A_{SM,j} = f_{SM}(A_j) = \frac{\alpha A_{j-1} + A_j + \alpha A_{j+1}}{1 + 2\alpha} \quad (\alpha = 0.002)$$

Parallelization on Distributed Memory System



More than 99% of the total computation is devoted to the particle calculations.



t = 23.2

Imbalance of the number of particles per cell.



PE

6

2

Adaptive Block Technique

Number of particles 310⁶ 1:10⁶ 1:10⁶ 0

32.8

41.0

0 1 2

[Fujimoto, JCP, 2011]

Morton-like ordering for the base octs.



Imbalance of the number of particles per cell.





t = 0.

3 4

PE

5 6 7



CCP2012@Kobe, Japan

14

Case with 8 nodes

t = 0.0

8.2

10.2

0.0

Performance of the AMR-PIC Model

Fujitsu FX1 @Nagaya Univ.





Large-Scale 3D Simulation

[Fujimoto & Sydora, PRL, submitted]

Fujitsu FX1, 1024 cores



Time Evolution of the Current Sheet

Surface: |J|, Line: Field line Color on the surface: Ey, Cut plane: Jy



EM Turbulence at the X-line

-2

-4

25

30



35

40 χ/λi

45

50

55

-0.02

Summary

Adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) has been implemented in the electromagnetic particle-in-cell (PIC) model to achieve large-scale simulations of magnetic reconnection.

Main differences from the usual PIC models are

- Tree-type data structure,
- Smoothing of the EM fields to avoid the wave reflection,
- Particle splitting-coalescence to control the number of particles per cell (Lapenta, JCP, 2002),
- Adaptive block technique to keep load balancing.