# Dissipation Mechanism in 3D Collisionless Magnetic Reconnection

Keizo Fujimoto

Computational Astrophysics Laboratory, RIKEN

# **Outline**

#### Introduction

-- Importance of reconnection, Multi-scale nature

- Dissipation Mechanism in Magnetic Reconnection, comparing 2D and 3D reconnections
  -- Results from particle-in-cell simulations
- Summary & Conclusions

# Introduction

-- Why is reconnection important?

-- Why do we need to study the dissipation mechanism?

# **Reconnection (in the Earth Magnetosphere)**



- Can induce global-scale convection causing change in the field line topology,
- ➢ Is inherently multi-scale process.

# **Reconnection (in Solar Flares)**



Leads to rapid energy release,

Strongly accelerates the electrons and protons.

## Why is the dissipation mechanism important?

Our goal is to understand macroscopic dynamics in a variety of systems.



# Dissipation Mechanism in Magnetic Reconnection, comparing 2D and 3D reconnections

-- Results from particle-in-cell simulations

## How is the resistivity generated?

The motion of charged particles supporting the current must be disturbed by "collision".

Collision with other particles

$$\eta = \frac{m_e \nu_c}{n_e e^2}, \quad \nu_c = \frac{1}{\tau_c}$$



How does the "collision "occur in collisionless plasmas? = How does the momentum transport occur?

Inertia resistivity

$$\eta = \frac{m_e \nu_T}{n_e e^2}, \quad \nu_T = \frac{1}{\tau_T} \sim \frac{V}{L}$$

Anomalous resistivity (Wave-particle interaction)

$$\eta = \frac{m_e \nu_w}{n_e e^2}, \quad \nu_w \approx \frac{R_e^{an}}{n_e m_e V_e}$$
$$R_e^{an} = -e \left( \left\langle \delta n_e \delta \vec{E} \right\rangle + \left\langle \delta (n_e \vec{V}_e) \times \delta \vec{B} \right\rangle \right)$$



## **Simulation Model**

#### PIC + Adaptive Mesh Refinement (AMR)

[Fujimoto & Machida, 2006; Fujimoto & Sydora, 2008]





Refinement cells are selectively allocated around the X-line and separatrices.



#### **2D Reconnection**



#### Electrons are...

• Coherently accelerated in the diffusion region,

Inertia resistivity

• Not thermalized.



#### **Electron Inertia Resistivity**

[Speiser, 1970; Tanaka, 1995; Fujimoto & Sydora, 2009]

$$\eta_{in} = \frac{m_e \nu}{n_e e^2}, \quad \nu = \frac{1}{\tau_{tr}}$$
$$E_R = \eta_{in} j \approx \frac{m_e V_{ey}}{e \tau_{tr}}$$

The electrons must be accelerated quickly up to a high velocity.



$$\delta_e \approx \lambda_e \, (= c/\omega_{pe})$$

Magnetotail: ~  $10km \iff 10^{5}km$ ) Solar Flare: ~  $10^{-5}km \iff 10^{4}km$ )

Is such a thin current sheet really stable in 3D system?

## Time Evolution of the Current Sheet in 3D system

#### $m_i/m_e = 25, \ L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 31 \times 7.7 \times 31$









Lower hybrid drift instability (LHDI) Kink-type instability Induction field due to tearing instability

Kink instability coexists with the tearing mode.

#### **Reconnection Rate & Current Sheet Width**



## **Dissipation Mechanism in 3D Reconnection**







(2D reconnection case)

The electrons are intensely thermalized as well as accelerated in bulk.









Broader current sheets have been observed in the Earth magnetotail [*Wygant et al.*, 2005] and laboratory experiment [*Ji et al.*, 2008].

## In the Cases with Lager *m<sub>i</sub>/m<sub>e</sub>* (> 100)

#### > 2D simulations in the YZ plane



Temparature:  $m_i/m_e = 400$ 

 $y_m pprox \Im \pi \lambda_e$ 





# Toward Larger-Scale Simulations with Larger m<sub>i</sub>/m<sub>e</sub>

#### Massively parallel computing of 3D AMR-PIC model



Larger computer resources including more memory capacity and CPUs.

# The present code has achieved very good scalability.



# **Summary and Conclusions**

The present study has investigated the dissipation mechanism in 3D magnetic reconnection in comparison with 2D reconnection, using a large-scale PIC simulations.

Reconnection rate

 $E_R \sim 0.1$  both the cases of 2D and 3D reconnections

Dissipation mechanism

2D reconnection —> Inertia resistivity

3D reconnection —> Inertia resistivity +

Anomalous resistivity (Electron heating due to wave-particle interaction)

• <u>Current sheet width larger than  $c/\omega_{pe}$ </u>

Both the 3D simulation and observation studies indicate the existence of some wave-particle interaction at the X-line.

# <u>Toward the better understanding of the dissipation</u> mechanism

The current sheet thicker than  $c/\omega_{pe}$  ->

- Which unstable modes are responsible for the resistivity?
- How much does the wave-particle interaction contribute to the total resistivity?

#### Observations

Better space and time resolutions.

#### Numerical simulations

More realistic parameters and boundary conditions (Higher mass ratio and larger system size)



Wave-particle interaction