2014/03/14

Dust Formation in Extreme Astronomical Objects

Takaya Nozawa

Kavli IPMU, Univeristy of Tokyo

(→ moving to the theory group of NAOJ from this April)

Contents

- Formulation of non-steady-state nucleation
- Dust formation in very massive Pop III stars
- Dust formation in macronovae (or kilonovae)









1. Formulation of non-steady-state Nucleation

1-1. Uncertainty in classical nucleation theory

Application of classical nucleation theory

- sticking coefficient? → usually s = 1
- surface energy of small clusters? → same as the bulk material
- cluster temperature? → Tclus = Tgas
- shape of small clusters? → sphere
- cluster-cluster reactions? → no
- steady-state nucleation, which cannot be applied in rarefied astrophysical environments

(e.g., Donn & Nuth 1985, but see also Paquette & Nuth 2011)

molecular formation? → complete formation of CO and SiO molecules

1-2. Concept of nucleation theory



master equations

$$\frac{dc_n}{dt} = J_n(t) - J_{n+1}(t) \quad \text{for } 2 \le n \le n_*,$$

$$J_n(t) = \alpha_n (c_{n-1}c_1 - \beta_n c_n \quad \text{for } 2 \le n \le n_*,$$

$$\alpha_n = \frac{s_n}{1+\delta_{1n}} (4\pi a_0^2 n^{\frac{2}{3}}) \left(\frac{kT}{2\pi m_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \beta_n = \alpha_{n-1} \frac{\mathring{c}_{n-1}}{\mathring{c}_n} \mathring{c}_1,$$

1-3. Non-steady-state nucleation



$$\frac{dc_n}{dt} = J_n(t) - J_{n+1}(t) \quad \text{for } 2 \le n \le n_*,$$

1-4. Scaling relation of average grain radius



<u> Λ on = Tsat/Tcoll</u>: ratio of supersaturation timescale to gas collision timescale at the onset time (ton) of dust formation <u> Λ on = Tsat/Tcoll ∝ Tcool Ngas</u>

- fcon,∞ and aave,∞ are uniquely determined by Λon
- steady-state nucleation rate is applicable for Aon > 30

1-5. Scaling relation of average grain radius



2. Dust Formation in RSG winds of Very Massive Population III Stars

2-1. Sources of dust in the early unvierse

Origin of massive dust at high redshifts (z > 5)

- core-collapse supernovae (CCSNe) may be promising sources of dust grains (e.g., Todini & Ferrara 2001; Nozawa+2003; Dwek+2007)
- the contribution from AGB stars is also invoked to explain the observed dust mass (e.g., Valiante+2009; Dwek & Cherchneff 2011)
 - what stellar mass range can mainly contribute dust budget in the early universe depends on the stellar IMF

Typical mass of Pop III stars

- → Pop III stars may be much more massive than Pop I/II stars
- ~40 Msun (Hosokawa+2011; Susa 2013)
- >300 Msun (Omukai+2003; Ohkubo+2009)
- 10-1000 Msun (Hirano+2014)



2-2. Very massive Population III stars

• Role of very massive stars (MZAMS > ~250 Msun)

- emitting numerous ionizing photons
 reionization of the universe
- finally collapsing into black holes
 → serving as seeds of SMBHs

Evolution of massive Pop III stars

- non-rotating stars with MZAMS > 250Msun undergo convective dredge-up of C and O during the RSG phase (Yoon+2012)
- enriching the surrounding medium with CNO through the RSG winds
 serving as formation sites of dust

Dust grains formed in the winds are not likely to be destroyed by the SN shocks



2-3. Model of Pop III red-supergiant winds

• RSG model: m500vk00 (Yoon+2012)

- MZAMS = 500 Msun (no rotation)
- L = 10^{7.2} Lsun, Tstar = 4440 K, Rstar = 6750 Rsun
- AC = 3.11x10⁻³, AO = 1.75x10⁻³ → C/O = 1.78, Z = 0.034
- Model of circumstellar envelope
 - spherically symmetry, constant wind velocity
 - density profile: $\rho(r) = \frac{\dot{M}}{4\pi r^2 v_m} = \rho_* \left(\frac{r}{R_*}\right)^{-2}$
 - temperature profile:

$$4\pi r^2 v_{\rm w} \qquad \left(\frac{R_{\rm s}}{R_{\rm s}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$T(r) = T_{\rm s} \left(\frac{r}{R_{\rm s}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

- Fiducial values of Mdot and Vw
 - wind velocity: vw = 20 km/s
 - mass-loss rate: Mdot = 0.003 Msun/yr
 - \rightarrow losing 90% (208 Msun) of envelope during 7x10⁴ yr

2-4. Chemical equilibrium calculations



parameter fc: a fraction of carbon available for dust formation
 fc = 1 as the fiducial case

2-5. Results of dust formation calculations



- carbon grains form around r = 7.5 Rstar (r = 12 Rstar) for Model A (Model B)
- final condensation efficiency is unity for both of the models
- final average radius is similar in both Model A and Model B

 \rightarrow the results are almost independent of chemical reactions

2-6. Dependence on Mdot and vw



- The condensation efficiency of dust is unity for the condition; $\left(\frac{f_{\rm c}\dot{M}}{3\times10^{-3}~M_{\odot}~{\rm yr}^{-1}}\right) \left(\frac{v_{\rm w}}{20~{\rm km~s}^{-1}}\right)^{-2} \gtrsim 0.04.$

for the fiducial case (Mdot = 3x10⁻³ Msun/yr, vw=20 km/s, fc=1)
 → 1.7 Msun of C grains is produced over the lifetime of the RSG

2-7. How efficient is dust formation?

Dust ejection efficiency by very massive Pop III RSGs

- XVMS = Mdust / MZAMS < 3.4x10⁻³
- Mdust / Mmetal < 0.24
- Dust ejection efficiency by CCSNe (PISNe)
 - XCCSN = $(0.1-30)x10^{-3}$ (XPISN < 0.05)
 - Mdust / Mmetal = 0.01-0.25 (Mdust / Mmetal < 0.15)

The ranges above reflects the destruction ## efficiency of dust by the reverse shock

If NVMS ~ NCCSN in the Pop III IMF ...

The contribution of dust from very massive RSGs is comparable with, or even higher than that from CCSNe

(XVMS NVMS) / (XCCSN NCCSN) > ~1

Hirano+2014

2-8. Expected extinction curves

- Extinction curves derived in this study do not resemble any of the known extinction law such as those in the MW and SMC
- The extinction curves observed for high-z quasars do not show a bump structure, being inconsistent with those given here
 - → The derived extinction curves can be powerful tools to probe the formation of C grains in very massive Pop III stars

2-9. Composition of low-mass UMP stars

- The ultra-metal-poor (UMP) stars with [Fe/H] < -4 would record chemical imprints of Population III stars
- The formation of such low-mass metal-poor stars is triggered through the cooling of gas by dust produced by Pop III SNe (e.g., Schneider+2012a, 2012b; Chiaki+2014)

Possible channel for C-rich UMP star formation

- Very massive Pop III RSGs are sources of carbon grains as well as CNO elements
 - → In the gas clouds enriched by Pop III RSGs, carbon grains enable the formation of low-mass stars whose chemical compositions are highly enriched with CNO
- We do not predict the presence of heavier elements (Mg, Si, Fe)
 - → Further observations and more quantitative theoretical studies are needed to show whether any UMP stars have formed through our scenario

2-10. Summary

We have examined the possibility of dust formation in a carbon-rich mass-loss wind of a Pop III RSG with MZAMS = 500 Msun

- For a steady stellar wind, C grains can form with a lognormal-like size distribution whose average radius is sensitive to wind velocity
- The condensation efficiency is unity for

$$\left(\frac{f_{\rm c}\dot{M}}{3\times10^{-3}\ M_{\odot}\ {\rm yr}^{-1}}\right)\left(\frac{v_{\rm w}}{20\ {\rm km\ s}^{-1}}\right)^{-2}\gtrsim0.04.$$

→ the first dust grains in the universe ??

- The mass of C grains is <1.7 Msun (Mdust/MZAMS < 3.4x10⁻³), which would be high enough to have impacts on dust enrichment history in the early universe, if the IMF of Pop III stars were top-heavy
 - # The extinction curves expected from ejected C grains are different from any known ones
 - # The chemical feedback by PopIII VMSs predicts a new type of UMP stars

3. Dust Formation in Macronovae

3-1. Sources of r-process elements

• What are sources of r-process elements?

- → r-process elements (N > 56) must be created in neutron-rich environments
- core-collapse supernovae and/or proto-neutron star wind

(e.g., Wanajo+2011; Wanajo 2013)

from Wanajo's website

mergers of neutron stars (NS)-NS and/or NS-BH binary

(e.g., Korobkin et al. 2012; Bauswein et al. 2013)

- ejecta mass: Mej=0.01-0.1 Msun
- ejecta velocity: β=v/c=0.1-0.3

3-2. Emission from NS-NS/NS-BH mergers

Compact star mergers: promising sources of GWs

- position determination with GWs are very uncertain
 it is needed to probe emission at other wavelengths
- r-process elements, especially lanthanoids, give high opacity in optical: κ ~10 cm²/g compared to κ ~0.1 cm²/g in SNe
 - → emission from radioactive nuclei is emitted at infrared wavelengths
- Macronovae (or called kilonovae)
 - → electromagnetic emission involved in NS-NS and/or NS-BH mergers (energy inputs may be due to decay of radioactive r-process elements)

ref. Energy inputs of other eruptive objects

- novae: explosive nucleosynthesis on the surface
- supernovae: decay of 56Ni produced at explosion

Tanaka & Hotokezaka+2013

3-3. Macronovae: GRB 130603B

formation sites of r-process

elements

Tanvir+2014, Nature

3-4. Dust formation in Macronovae

$$\frac{\tau_{\text{coll}}}{\tau_{\text{exp}}} = \frac{3A_i m_{\text{H}}}{\pi a_0^2 f_i \rho_0 t_0} \left(\frac{A_i m_{\text{H}}}{2kT_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^{-\frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= 0.32 f_i^{-1} \left(\frac{A_i}{100}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{\kappa}{10 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}}\right) \left(\frac{\beta}{0.2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{T}{2000 \text{ K}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha + 18}{\alpha + 2}}$$

3-5. Dust in Macronovae

<u>3-6. Summary</u>

We have examined the possibility of dust formation in the ejecta of macronovae based on observed properties of GRB 130603B

- In the high-density case with efficient r-process nucleosynthesis, r-process elements never condense into dust grains even if they are abundantly produced
- In the low-density case with inefficient r-process nucleosynthesis, carbon grains can form with quite small radius (<0.02 μm)
- The near-infrared excess observed for GRB 130603B is explained by thermal emission of carbon dust formed in the ejecta

sources of cosmic dust :

- SNe (Type II SNe and Type Ia SNe),
- mass-loss winds of evolved stars (AGB, RSG and WR stars)
- mass-loss winds of massive main-sequence stars
 - → Extreme astronomical objects are good laboratories for examining the process of dust formation (testing the theory of dust formation)